

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

2 J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 150630)
Chief, Criminal Division

4 CAROLYN SILANE (NYBN 4596235)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6 San Francisco, California 94102
7 Telephone: (415) 436-6973
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
E-Mail: carolyn.silane@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for the United States of America

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 12-0090 RS
14 Plaintiff,) CR 12-0156 RS
15 v.)
16 PABLO PEREZ-ANGUIANO,)
17 a/k/a Jose Escalera Aguilera)
18 Defendant.)
19

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18
U.S.C. § 3161**

20 On August 27, 2013, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the
21 Court set the matter to September 17, 2013. A clerk's notice was filed, rescheduling the hearing
22 to September 24, 2013. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between August
23 27, 2013 and September 24, 2013 from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The
24 parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for
25 effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that
26 the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the
27 public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

28 //

STIPULATION & ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 12-0090 RS

1 At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement. SO STIPULATED:

2
3
4 MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

5
6 DATED: September 24, 2013

/s/
7 CAROLYN SILANE
Special Assistant United States Attorney

8
9 DATED: September 24, 2013

/s/
10 CANDIS MITCHELL
Attorney for Defendant

1 ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

2 For the reasons stated above and at the August 27, 2013 hearing, the Court finds that the
3 exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from August 27,
4 2013 and September 24, 2013 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance
5 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.
6 § 3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the
7 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
8 diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

9

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11

12 DATED: 9/24/13

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge